| 1 | JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ. | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| 2 | Nevada Bar No. 9017 | | |
| 2 | E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP | | |
| 3 | 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600 | | |
| | Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 | | |
| 4 | Tel: (702) 805-8340 Fax: (702) 805-8340 | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | |
| , | INITED CTATES | DISTRICT COLDT | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| _ | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | BRITTANY HILL an Individual, | Case No.: 2:22-cv-00762 | |
| | Plaintiff, | | |
| 9 | | STIPULATION AND ORDER TO | |
| 10 | VS. | EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO | |
| | NEVADA RESTAURANT SERVICES, | RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S SIXTH | |
| 11 | INC., a Domestic Corporations, DOES I-X; | CAUSE OF ACTION AND FOR | |
| | ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, | DEFENDANT'S REPLY [ECF NO. 11] | |
| 12 | Defendant. (FIRST REQUEST) | | |
| 13 | Defendant. | | |
| 3 | | | |
| | | | |
| | Plaintiff, BRITTANY HILL ("Plaintiff | f'') and Defendant, NEVADA RESTAURANT | |
| | | f'') and Defendant, NEVADA RESTAURANT Defendant, Nevada Restaurant Defendant, Nevada Restaurant Defendant, Nevada Restaurant | |
| 14 | | , | |
| 14 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: | D Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the | |
| 14 15 16 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: | , | |
| 14 15 16 17 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: | Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of | |
| 14 15 16 | SERVICES, INC. (" Defendant "), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11] | Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of | |
| 14 15 16 17 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion | Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of] on August 22, 2022. on [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion 3. The Parties request that the Plain | Do Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of on August 22, 2022. In [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. In tiff's deadline to file its response to the Motion | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 120 121 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion | Do Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of on August 22, 2022. In [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. In tiff's deadline to file its response to the Motion | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion 3. The Parties request that the Plain [ECF No. 11] be extended to Se | Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of on August 22, 2022. In [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. In intiff's deadline to file its response to the Motion ptember 23, 2022. | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 222 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion 3. The Parties request that the Plain [ECF No. 11] be extended to Se | Do Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of on August 22, 2022. In [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. In tiff's deadline to file its response to the Motion | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion 3. The Parties request that the Plain [ECF No. 11] be extended to Se | Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of J on August 22, 2022. In [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. In tiff's deadline to file its response to the Motion ptember 23, 2022. In tiff the its Reply in Support of Its Motion (the | |
| 14 | SERVICES, INC. ("Defendant"), pursuant to following: 1. Defendant filed Defendant's Maction ("Motion") [ECF No. 11 2. Plaintiff's response to the Motion 3. The Parties request that the Plain [ECF No. 11] be extended to Set 4. The deadline for Defendant to | Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth Cause of J on August 22, 2022. In [ECF No. 11] was due on September 6, 2022. In tiff's deadline to file its response to the Motion ptember 23, 2022. In tiff the its Reply in Support of Its Motion (the | |

| 1 | 5. Therefore, additionally, the Par | ties request that the deadline for Defendant's | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Reply similarly be extended to and including October 14, 2022. | | |
| 3 | 6. This is the first stipulation to extend such deadlines. | | |
| 4 | 7. This request is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay. Good cause | | |
| 5 | exists to grant the stipulation although the original deadline passed two days ago | | |
| 6 | as Plaintiff's counsel only recently completed a two-week jury trial in Sandra | | |
| 7 | M. Meza-Perez v. Sbarro LLLC dba Sbarro Pizza et al., case no. 2:19-cv-00373- | | |
| 8 | APG-EJY and has been required to expend significant time preparing for oral | | |
| 9 | argument before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in Anna Galaza v. Chad | | |
| 10 | Wolf, case no. 21-15464, that is scheduled for September 9, 2022. Furthermore, | | |
| 11 | Defendant has stipulated to the extension of time. | | |
| 12 | For the foregoing reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue the | | |
| 13 | current deadline for Plaintiff to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Sixth | | |
| 14 | Cause of Action [ECF No. 11] to September 23, 2022 and Defendant's Reply to October 14, | | |
| 15 | 2022 . | | |
| 16 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 17 | Dated September 8, 2022 | Dated September 8, 2022 | |
| 18 | /s/ Jenny L. Foley JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ. | /s/ Kirsten A. Milton KIRSTEN A. MILTON, ESQ. | |
| 19 | Nevada Bar No. 9017 HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS, LLP | Nevada Bar No. 14401 LYNNE K. MCCHRYSTAL, ESQ. | |
| 20 | 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 | Nevada Bar No. 14736 MESSNER REEVES LLP | |
| 21 | Tel: (702) 805-8340 E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff | 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 921-2460 | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED: | E-mail: Kirsten.Milton@jacksonlewis.com E-mail: | |
| 23 | A) | Lynne.McChrystal@jacksonlewis.com Attorneys for Defendant | |
| 24 | | | |
| | RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II Page 2 | 2 of 3 | |
| | United States District Judge | | |
| | DATED this 13th day of September, 2022. | | |

| 1 | | <u>ORDER</u> |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Ju | dge, United States District Court, Case No.: 2:22-cv-00762 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 1 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| | | Page 3 of 3 |